

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No. 5:22 CR 711
)	
Plaintiff,)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
vs.)	MAGISTATE JUDGE
)	CARMEN E. HENDERSON
DAJUANE MARTEZ COOKS,)	
)	
Defendant.)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
)	OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pursuant to General Order 99-49, this matter having been referred to United States Magistrate Judge Carmen E. Henderson for purposes of receiving, on consent of the parties, the defendant's offer of a plea of guilty, conducting the colloquy prescribed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11, causing a verbatim record of the proceedings to be prepared, referring the matter, if appropriate, for presentence investigation, and submitting a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation stating whether the plea should be accepted and a finding of guilty entered, the following, along with the transcript or other record of the proceedings submitted herewith, constitutes the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation concerning the plea of guilty proffered by the defendant.

1. On October 25, 2024, the defendant, accompanied by counsel, proffered a plea of guilty to Counts 1-4 of the Indictment.
2. Prior to such proffer, the defendant was examined as to his competency, advised of the charge and consequences of conviction, informed that the Federal Sentencing Guidelines are advisory and the Court must consider them but the Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, notified of his rights, advised that he was giving up his right to a trial, including the right to jury trial, his right to compulsory process and to confront witnesses,

his right to remain silent, and his right against self-incrimination, and otherwise provided with the information prescribed in Fed. R. Crim. P. 11.

3. The parties and counsel informed the court that no plea agreement had been reached between the parties. The undersigned was advised that no commitments or promises have been made by any party, and no agreements, written or unwritten, have been made between the parties.
4. The undersigned questioned the defendant under oath about the knowing, intelligent, and voluntary nature of the plea of guilty, and finds that the defendant's plea was offered knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily.
5. The parties provided the undersigned with sufficient information about the charged offenses and the defendant's conduct to establish a factual basis for the plea.

In light of the foregoing and the record submitted herewith, the undersigned finds that the defendant's plea was knowing, intelligent, and voluntary, and that all requirements imposed by the United States Constitution and Fed. R. Crim. P. 11 have been satisfied. Therefore, the undersigned recommends that the plea of guilty to Counts 1-4 of the Indictment be accepted and a finding of guilty be entered by the Court.

s/Carmen E. Henderson
Carmen E. Henderson
United States Magistrate Judge

Date: October 25, 2024

Any objections to this Report and Recommendation must be filed with the Clerk of Courts within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy of this document. Failure to file objections within the specified time may forfeit the right to appeal the District Court's order. *See Berkshire v. Beauvais*, 928 F.3d 520, 530-31 (6th Cir. 2019).